

CONTRIBUTORS

Hannah Skeates
Senior Director
ESG and Strategy Indices
hannah.skeates@spglobal.com

Andrew Innes
Associate Director
Global Research and Design
andrew.innes@spglobal.com

Considering the Risk From Future Carbon Prices: The S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Index Series

INTRODUCTION

Along with the advent of the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement has come a growing understanding of the structural changes required across the global economy to shift to low- (or zero-) carbon, sustainable business practices.

The increasing regulation of carbon emissions through taxes, emissions trading schemes, and fossil fuel extraction fees is expected to feature prominently in global efforts to address climate change. Carbon prices are already implemented in 40 countries and 20 cities and regions. Average carbon prices could increase more than sevenfold to USD 120 per metric ton by 2030, as regulations aim to limit the average global temperature increase to 2 degrees Celsius, in accordance with the Paris Agreement.¹

S&P Dow Jones Indices launched the S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices to embed future carbon price risk into today's index constituents.

The key points included in the index concept are as follows:

- Carbon pricing risk from a growing array of new policies and taxes leading to potentially significant increased costs for companies.
- Every company having a different carbon emissions profile—its total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions footprint and where geographically these emissions occur.
- Carbon pricing risk could vary substantially among companies operating in the same business sector.

This development is an example of the broader move toward incorporating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations in asset management.

¹ Trucost Analysis OECD/IEA and IRENA. 2017. Chapter 2 of "[Perspectives for the Energy Transition: Investment Needs for a Low-Carbon Energy System.](#)"
OECD. 2016. "[Effective Carbon Rates: Pricing CO2 through Taxes and Emissions Trading Systems.](#)" OECD Publishing. Paris.

SIDEBAR: KEY CARBON PRICING POLICIES²

Exhibit 1: Prices in Implemented Carbon Pricing Initiatives

CARBON PRICING INITIATIVE	CARBON PRICE (USD PER TON OF CO ₂ e)	CARBON PRICING INITIATIVE	CARBON PRICE (USD PER TON OF CO ₂ e)
Sweden Carbon Tax	139	New Zealand ETS, California CaT, Ontario CaT, Québec CaT	15
Switzerland Carbon Tax, Liechtenstein Carbon Tax	101	Beijing Pilot ETS	9
Finland Carbon Tax	77	Portugal Carbon Tax, Switzerland ETS	8
Norway Carbon Tax (Upper)	64	Shenzhen Pilot ETS	7
France Carbon Tax	55	Shanghai Pilot ETS, Saitama ETS, Tokyo CaT, Colombia Carbon Tax, Latvia Carbon Tax	6
Iceland Carbon Tax	36	Chile Carbon Tax	5
Denmark Carbon Tax (Fossil Fuels)	29	RGGI, Chongqing Pilot ETS, Norway Carbon Tax (Lower)	4
British Columbia Carbon Tax	27	Fujian Pilot ETS, Mexico Carbon Tax (Upper), Japan Carbon Tax	3
UK Carbon Price Floor, Spain Carbon Tax, Ireland Carbon Tax, Denmark Carbon Tax (F-Gases)	25	Estonia Carbon Tax, Hubei Pilot ETS, Guangdong Pilot ETS	2
Alberta CCIR, Alberta Carbon Tax	23	Tianjin Pilot ETS	1
Slovenia Carbon Tax, Korea ETS	21	Mexico Carbon Tax (Lower), Poland Carbon Tax, Ukraine Carbon Tax	<1
EU ETS	16		

Source: World Bank Group, State and Trends of Carbon Pricing 2018. Data as of April 1, 2018. Table is provided for illustrative purposes.

To help companies and their investors assess exposure to future carbon pricing risk, Trucost, part of S&P Dow Jones Indices, developed the Corporate Carbon Pricing Tool.

ASSESSING EXPOSURE TO FUTURE CARBON PRICING RISK

While the number of carbon pricing schemes has grown rapidly over the past 10 years, prices in most jurisdictions are currently well below the level required to achieve the Paris Agreement’s 2 degrees Celsius goal.

To help companies and their investors assess exposure to future carbon pricing risk, Trucost, part of S&P Dow Jones Indices, developed the Corporate Carbon Pricing Tool.³

The tool features a “carbon pricing risk premium,” representing the gap between current carbon prices and expected future prices in today’s monies under a 2 degrees Celsius scenario (see Exhibit 2). This gap varies


² Lord, Rick. [“Talking Points Internal Carbon Pricing: Stress Testing Business for Climate Change Risk.”](#) January 2017. Trucost, part of S&P Dow Jones Indices.

³ Bernick, Libby, Bullock, Steven, and Lord, Rick. [“Carbon Pricing: Discover Your Blind Spots on Risk and Opportunity.”](#) January 2018. Trucost, part of S&P Dow Jones Indices.

depending on the current status of carbon pricing in each country, as well as the speed and degree to which prices are expected to rise in the future. Research by the International Energy Agency found that carbon prices in OECD countries could increase to USD 120 per metric ton by 2030, as regulations are introduced to achieve the Paris Agreement goal to limit global warming to 2 degrees Celsius.⁴

By applying the carbon pricing risk premium to a company’s regional GHG emissions, it is possible to quantify the potential additional costs that could materialize in the transition to a low-carbon economy. This approach to assessing financial risk from carbon pricing trajectories—based on the scale and spread of an individual company’s current operations—allows an estimation to be made as to how a company’s market valuation could be affected in the future.

Exhibit 2: Carbon Pricing Risk Premium



Source: Trucost. Data as of December 2017. Chart is provided for illustrative purposes.

Applying the carbon pricing risk premium to companies in the S&P 500 shows that USD 1.3 trillion may be at risk from 2030 carbon prices across these listed companies—or 5.6% of the S&P 500’s market capitalization.


CARBON PRICING COULD LEAD TO SIGNIFICANT COSTS FOR COMPANIES

For the purposes of the current set of indices, we have focused on potential carbon pricing in the year 2030. However, this index methodology could also be used for other points in the future.

Applying the carbon pricing risk premium to companies in the [S&P 500®](#) shows that USD 1.3 trillion may be at risk from 2030 carbon prices across these listed companies—or 5.6% of the S&P 500’s market capitalization. Carbon pricing risk was further found to vary significantly among companies operating within the same business sectors, creating investment opportunities as well as risks for financial institutions.

⁴ OECD/IEA and IRENA. 2017. Chapter 2 of “[Perspectives for the Energy Transition: Investment Needs for a Low-Carbon Energy System.](#)”

Exhibit 3: Carbon Pricing Risk Exposure Varies Significantly Within Business Sectors




Source: Trucost Corporate Carbon Pricing Tool 2018 and S&P DJI's S&P 500 constituent data as of the December 2017 rebalance date. Chart is provided for illustrative purposes.

INDEX OVERVIEW

For the first time, the S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Index Series enables investors to consider 2030 carbon pricing risk exposures alongside company earnings in investment decisions.

The S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices seek to measure the performance of companies in each respective underlying index with a weighting scheme based on estimated company market valuation at risk from predicted 2030 carbon prices.

Exhibit 4: S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices Methodology




Source: S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Data as of 2018. Chart is provided for illustrative purposes. For more information, view the [S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices Methodology](#).

The index series seeks to measure the performance of companies in each respective underlying index with a weighting scheme based on estimated company market valuation having allowed for the risk from predicted 2030 carbon prices, taking into account the following factors.

1. Company Carbon Emissions

Exhibit 5 shows the range of S&P 500 companies' carbon emissions across different business sectors, generated by company operations and purchased electricity supplies.

Exhibit 5: Range of Operational Carbon Emissions for the S&P 500 Business Sectors



Source: Trucost Corporate Carbon Pricing Tool 2018 and S&P DJI's S&P 500 constituent data as of the December 2017 rebalance date. Chart is provided for illustrative purposes.

2. Company Operating Geographies

Exhibit 1 demonstrates how carbon pricing risk exposure can vary significantly across geographies. The carbon pricing risk premium varies depending on the current status of carbon pricing in each country, as well as the speed and degree to which prices are expected to rise in the future. The geographic distribution of a company's carbon emissions, therefore, needs to be considered when estimating exposure to future carbon pricing risk. To take this into account, carbon distribution data disclosed by companies to the CDP is applied.⁵

Companies may have different exposures to carbon pricing risk due to the locations of their operations.

3. Company Ability to “Pass On” Rather Than Absorb Carbon Costs

Companies operating in different business sectors may have more flexibility in being able to “pass on” carbon costs to consumers or purchasers.⁶

This can be demonstrated by comparing different business sectors' total costs (as a percentage of earnings) to the estimated valuation at risk as a result of these estimated costs. For example, utilities companies, in general, tend to have high carbon price risk costs compared with earnings. However, since utilities is a highly inelastic industry, companies may be

⁵ GeoRev data from FactSet is used as a proxy when CDP location data is unavailable.


⁶ In order to take this into account, some assumptions have been made as to the extent to which increased costs will actually end up being a cost to the company themselves based on different industry group's estimated “price elasticity of demand.”

able to “pass on” most of these costs by raising prices without suffering from a large drop in demand. Therefore, the estimated valuation at risk is comparatively much lower. In contrast, materials companies may not be able to raise prices without a significant fall in demand; therefore, their estimated valuations at risk may be more affected.

Exhibit 6 demonstrates the range in ability of four business sectors with high exposure to carbon price risk to “pass on” rather than absorb carbon costs.

Exhibit 6: Range in Ability of High Impact Business Sectors to “Pass on” Rather Than Absorb Carbon Costs

Companies operating in different business sectors may have more flexibility in being able to “pass on” carbon costs to consumers or purchasers.



Source: Trucost Corporate Carbon Pricing Tool 2018 and S&P DJI’s S&P 500 constituent data as of the December 2017 rebalance date. Chart is provided for illustrative purposes.

S&P CARBON PRICE RISK ADJUSTED INDEX HIGHLIGHTS

1. Carbon Comparison


Exhibit 7: Carbon Performance of the S&P 500 Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index

INDEX	CARBON TO VALUE INVESTED (METRIC TONS CO ₂ e/USD 1 MILLION INVESTED)	CARBON TO REVENUE INTENSITY (METRIC TONS CO ₂ e/USD 1 MILLION REVENUES)	WEIGHTED AVERAGE CARBON INTENSITY (METRIC TONS CO ₂ e/USD 1 MILLION REVENUES)	FOSSIL FUEL RESERVE EMISSIONS (METRIC TONS CO ₂ e/USD 1 MILLION INVESTED)
S&P 500 (TR)	83.44	240.79	250.93	821.88
S&P 500 Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index (USD) TR	54.73	161.07	171.4	680.86
Reduction (%)	34.41	33.11	31.69	17.16

Source: S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Data as of May 31, 2018. Table is provided for illustrative purposes and reflects hypothetical historical performance. Please see the Performance Disclosure at the end of this document for more information regarding the inherent limitations associated with back-tested performance.

2. Sectors


Exhibit 8: Sector Composition of the S&P 500 and S&P 500 Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index



Source: S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Data as of May 31, 2018. Charts are provided for illustrative purposes.

3. Performance

Exhibit 9: Cumulative Performance of the S&P 500 Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index



Source: S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Data from Dec. 31, 2012, to May 31, 2018. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Chart is provided for illustrative purposes and reflects hypothetical historical performance. Please see the Performance Disclosure at the end of this document for more information regarding the inherent limitations associated with back-tested performance.

Exhibit 10: Performance of the S&P 500 Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index		
PERIOD	S&P 500 (TR)	S&P 500 CARBON PRICE RISK 2030 ADJUSTED INDEX (USD) TR
RETURNS (%)		
1-Year	14.38	14.52
3-Year	10.97	11.12
5-Year	12.98	13.09
December 2012 to May 2018	14.93	15.05
TRACKING ERROR (%)		
1-Year	-	0.28
2-Year	-	0.27
3-Year	-	0.28
December 2012 to May 2018	-	0.28

Source: S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Data from Dec. 31, 2012, to May 31, 2018. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Table is provided for illustrative purposes and reflects hypothetical historical performance. Please see the Performance Disclosure at the end of this document for more information regarding the inherent limitations associated with back-tested performance.

CONCLUSION

The S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices are intended to address the question of future company-specific risks associated with the increasing cost of carbon emissions.

The S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices are intended to address the question of future company-specific risks associated with the increasing cost of carbon emissions.

In so doing, the indices seek to:

1. Support the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures to price climate-related risks in investment decision-making;
2. Show how companies can be weighted in different ways according to their emissions, but with a forward-looking, financial cost perspective;
3. Highlight how historically a company’s level and geographic spread of carbon emissions have not substantially affected performance; and
4. Show the difference that may be made in the future from a company’s ability to manage its carbon pricing risk exposure.

APPENDIX

S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices

- [S&P 500 Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P MidCap 400[®] Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P SmallCap 600[®] Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P Europe 350 Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P Global 1200 Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P South Africa Composite Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P Global LargeMidCap Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P Developed LargeMidCap Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P Emerging LargeMidCap Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P Europe Developed LargeMidCap Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P North America LargeMidCap Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)
- [S&P Asia Pacific Developed LargeMidCap Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index](#)

Decarbonizing Indices

The S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices are part of a broader series that seeks to address the question of decarbonization.

- The S&P Carbon Efficient Indices tilt constituents based on their current emissions.
- The S&P Fossil Fuel Free Indices remove companies deemed to be involved in the fossil fuel business.
- The S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices address the potential risk to companies from future carbon prices by reweighting companies through an adjusted market valuation.

S&P DJI's ESG Index Offerings

S&P Dow Jones Indices entered the ESG investment space in 1999 with the launch of the Dow Jones Sustainability Indices. These have become globally recognized corporate rankings for sustainability leaders.

Since then, S&P Dow Jones Indices has continued to innovate with the launches of the S&P Low Carbon Equity Indices in 2009, the S&P Green Bond Indices in 2014, and thematic indices such as the [S&P Global Clean Energy Index](#).

The complete ESG index suite from S&P Dow Jones Indices is comprehensive in its nature, covering everything from core integration (which can be considered as addressing ESG risks), to ESG interplay with factors, to targeted, themed indices that look to concentrate on specific ESG, green, or transition-related solutions (see Exhibit 11).

Exhibit 11: Headline S&P DJI ESG Indices by Category

Core ESG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>ESG</u>: S&P Environmental & Socially Responsible Indices • <u>Low Carbon</u>: S&P Fossil Fuel Free Indices • <u>Low Carbon</u>: S&P Carbon Efficient Indices
Smart Beta ESG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S&P Europe 350 Carbon Efficient Select Low Volatility Index • S&P Long-Term Value Creation Global Index • JPX/S&P CAPEX & Human Capital Index
Thematics & SDGs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S&P Global Clean Energy Index • S&P Global Water Index • DJB Water Infrastructure, S&P Muni Bond Water & Sewer
Green Finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S&P Green Bond Index • S&P Green Bond Select Indices
ESG Innovations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Low Carbon</u>: S&P Carbon Price Risk Adjusted Indices • <u>ESG</u>: Dow Jones Sustainability Indices (DJSI) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Top scorers, well-known corporate benchmark since 1999

Other related indices include the S&P 500 Catholic Values Index.

Source: S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Data as of 2018. Chart is provided for illustrative purposes.

S&P DJI RESEARCH CONTRIBUTORS		
Charles Mounts	Global Head	charles.mounts@spglobal.com
Jake Vukelic	Business Manager	jake.vukelic@spglobal.com
GLOBAL RESEARCH & DESIGN		
AMERICAS		
Aye M. Soe, CFA	Americas Head	aye.soe@spglobal.com
Phillip Brzenk, CFA	Director	phillip.brzenk@spglobal.com
Smita Chirputkar	Director	smita.chirputkar@spglobal.com
Rachel Du	Senior Analyst	rachel.du@spglobal.com
Bill Hao	Director	wenli.hao@spglobal.com
Qing Li	Director	qing.li@spglobal.com
Berlinda Liu, CFA	Director	berlinda.liu@spglobal.com
Maria Sanchez	Associate Director	maria.sanchez@spglobal.com
Kelly Tang, CFA	Director	kelly.tang@spglobal.com
Hong Xie, CFA	Director	hong.xie@spglobal.com
APAC		
Priscilla Luk	APAC Head	priscilla.luk@spglobal.com
Utkarsh Agrawal, CFA	Associate Director	utkarsh.agrawal@spglobal.com
Akash Jain	Associate Director	akash.jain@spglobal.com
Liyu Zeng, CFA	Director	liyu.zeng@spglobal.com
EMEA		
Sunjiv Mainie, CFA, CQF	EMEA Head	sunjiv.mainie@spglobal.com
Leonardo Cabrer, PhD	Senior Analyst	leonardo.cabrer@spglobal.com
Andrew Cairns	Senior Analyst	andrew.cairns@spglobal.com
Andrew Innes	Associate Director	andrew.innes@spglobal.com
INDEX INVESTMENT STRATEGY		
Craig J. Lazzara, CFA	Global Head	craig.lazzara@spglobal.com
Fei Mei Chan	Director	feimei.chan@spglobal.com
Tim Edwards, PhD	Managing Director	tim.edwards@spglobal.com
Anu R. Ganti, CFA	Director	anu.ganti@spglobal.com
Hamish Preston	Senior Associate	hamish.preston@spglobal.com
Howard Silverblatt	Senior Index Analyst	howard.silverblatt@spglobal.com

PERFORMANCE DISCLOSURE

The S&P 500 Carbon Price Risk 2030 Adjusted Index was launched on May 31, 2018. All information presented prior to an index's Launch Date is hypothetical (back-tested), not actual performance. The back-test calculations are based on the same methodology that was in effect on the index Launch Date. Complete index methodology details are available at www.spdji.com.

S&P Dow Jones Indices defines various dates to assist our clients in providing transparency. The First Value Date is the first day for which there is a calculated value (either live or back-tested) for a given index. The Base Date is the date at which the Index is set at a fixed value for calculation purposes. The Launch Date designates the date upon which the values of an index are first considered live: index values provided for any date or time period prior to the index's Launch Date are considered back-tested. S&P Dow Jones Indices defines the Launch Date as the date by which the values of an index are known to have been released to the public, for example via the company's public website or its datafeed to external parties. For Dow Jones-branded indices introduced prior to May 31, 2013, the Launch Date (which prior to May 31, 2013, was termed "Date of introduction") is set at a date upon which no further changes were permitted to be made to the index methodology, but that may have been prior to the Index's public release date.

Past performance of the Index is not an indication of future results. Prospective application of the methodology used to construct the Index may not result in performance commensurate with the back-test returns shown. The back-test period does not necessarily correspond to the entire available history of the Index. Please refer to the methodology paper for the Index, available at www.spdji.com for more details about the index, including the manner in which it is rebalanced, the timing of such rebalancing, criteria for additions and deletions, as well as all index calculations.

Another limitation of using back-tested information is that the back-tested calculation is generally prepared with the benefit of hindsight. Back-tested information reflects the application of the index methodology and selection of index constituents in hindsight. No hypothetical record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual trading. For example, there are numerous factors related to the equities, fixed income, or commodities markets in general which cannot be, and have not been accounted for in the preparation of the index information set forth, all of which can affect actual performance.

The Index returns shown do not represent the results of actual trading of investable assets/securities. S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC maintains the Index and calculates the Index levels and performance shown or discussed, but does not manage actual assets. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the Index or investment funds that are intended to track the performance of the Index. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause actual and back-tested performance of the securities/fund to be lower than the Index performance shown. As a simple example, if an index returned 10% on a US \$100,000 investment for a 12-month period (or US \$10,000) and an actual asset-based fee of 1.5% was imposed at the end of the period on the investment plus accrued interest (or US \$1,650), the net return would be 8.35% (or US \$8,350) for the year. Over a three year period, an annual 1.5% fee taken at year end with an assumed 10% return per year would result in a cumulative gross return of 33.10%, a total fee of US \$5,375, and a cumulative net return of 27.2% (or US \$27,200).

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

Copyright © 2018 by S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. All rights reserved. Standard & Poor's®, S&P 500® and S&P® are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC ("S&P"), a subsidiary of S&P Global. Dow Jones® is a registered trademark of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC ("Dow Jones"). Trademarks have been licensed to S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Redistribution, reproduction and/or photocopying in whole or in part are prohibited without written permission. This document does not constitute an offer of services in jurisdictions where S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, Dow Jones, S&P or their respective affiliates (collectively "S&P Dow Jones Indices") do not have the necessary licenses. All information provided by S&P Dow Jones Indices is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons. S&P Dow Jones Indices receives compensation in connection with licensing its indices to third parties. Past performance of an index is not a guarantee of future results.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index is available through investable instruments based on that index. S&P Dow Jones Indices does not sponsor, endorse, sell, promote or manage any investment fund or other investment vehicle that is offered by third parties and that seeks to provide an investment return based on the performance of any index. S&P Dow Jones Indices makes no assurance that investment products based on the index will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC is not an investment advisor, and S&P Dow Jones Indices makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any such investment fund or other investment vehicle. A decision to invest in any such investment fund or other investment vehicle should not be made in reliance on any of the statements set forth in this document. Prospective investors are advised to make an investment in any such fund or other vehicle only after carefully considering the risks associated with investing in such funds, as detailed in an offering memorandum or similar document that is prepared by or on behalf of the issuer of the investment fund or other vehicle. Inclusion of a security within an index is not a recommendation by S&P Dow Jones Indices to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

These materials have been prepared solely for informational purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable. No content contained in these materials (including index data, ratings, credit-related analyses and data, research, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P Dow Jones Indices. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P Dow Jones Indices and its third-party data providers and licensors (collectively "S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties") do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" BASIS. S&P DOW JONES INDICES PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

S&P Dow Jones Indices keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P Dow Jones Indices may have information that is not available to other business units. S&P Dow Jones Indices has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

In addition, S&P Dow Jones Indices provides a wide range of services to, or relating to, many organizations, including issuers of securities, investment advisers, broker-dealers, investment banks, other financial institutions and financial intermediaries, and accordingly may receive fees or other economic benefits from those organizations, including organizations whose securities or services they may recommend, rate, include in model portfolios, evaluate or otherwise address.